



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/122,349	07/24/1998	LANCE HACKING	042390.P5965	4301
7590 08/04/2004 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, I	TRAN, DENISE
7TH FLOOR	KE BOOLEVAKD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90025		2186	

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



ver •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/122,349	HACKING ET AL.	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Denise Tran	2186	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ( condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	<ol> <li>a timely filed amendment whi</li> </ol>	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date o	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the distautory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee ttension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a)   they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-12 and 38-64</u> .	BEST AVAILABI	LE COPY	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ apr	proved or b)I I disapproved by	the Examiner.	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Denneper 1/30/04

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/122,349

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: prior art still render the claims unpatentable and the final rejection is demmed to be proper with respect to applicant's amendment filed 7/2/04.

Denispan 1/30/04

BEST AVAILABLE COPY